

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL Digital Network WSJ.com MarketWatch BARRON'S All Things Digital. FINIS SmartMoney More

Monday, December 13, 2010 New York 46° | 24°

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL OPINION JOURNAL GET 2 WEEKS FREE SUBSCRIBE NOW! THE PRINT JOURNAL THE ONLINE JOURNAL

Search Sponsor: Goldman Sachs Asset Management SEARCH

Welcome, Timothy D. Naegle Logout My Account My Journal Help

U.S. Edition Home Today's Paper Video Blogs Journal Community Log In

World U.S. New York Business Markets Tech Personal Finance Life & Culture Opinion Careers Real Estate Small Business

Leisure & Arts Book Reviews Letters to the Editor Political Diary Columns

TOP STORIES IN Opinion

Joshua Yaffa: Chronicle of a Lost Cause 8 of 12

Notable & Quotable 9 of 12

O'Grady: Haitian Democracy Up for Grabs 10 of 12

OPINION | DECEMBER 13, 2010

Groundhog Day on U.S.-Russia Arms Control

The Bush administration faced demands to limit missile defenses. We told Moscow no.

Article Comments (4) MORE IN OPINION »

Email Print Save This Like + More Text

By DOUGLAS J. FEITH

President Obama's pleas for quick Senate approval of New Start, his nuclear arms treaty with Russia, remind me of long days nine years ago in the Russian Defense Ministry—where I helped negotiate the Strategic Offensive Reductions Treaty (SORT). My Russian counterpart was Gen. Yuri Baluyevsky, who had the physique of a Soviet tank and a head full of Soviet-era arms control notions.

Gen. Baluyevsky pressed various demands. Some were for provisions designed to impede U.S. missile defense or U.S. military modernization. I said "no." When the Obama administration negotiated New Start, Russia resurfaced essentially the same provisions. This time, U.S. officials said yes.

Russian officials oppose American plans for missile defense. In the SORT negotiations, they demanded that the treaty recognize an "interrelationship" between offensive arms reductions and missile defense. They wanted language that would, down the road, legitimate Russian arguments that U.S. missile defense programs violate America's obligations to preserve a strategic balance with Russia.

The Russians also demanded elaborate but ineffectual verification measures. They focused, for example, on inspection of "declared facilities"—those that a party declared open to inspection. This was silly. We knew that any Russian violations would not likely occur in a declared facility subject to inspection.



View Full Image

Associated Press

Gen. Yuri Baluyevsky

The foundation for SORT was the Pentagon's 2001-02 review of the U.S. nuclear posture. We had concluded that America was deploying far more warheads than necessary, as the Cold War was long over and there was hope of friendlier relations with Russia. We reckoned we could cut our arsenal by approximately two-thirds, and that it was not necessary to condition our reductions on any reciprocal Russian promises. So President Bush announced that the U.S. would make the two-thirds cut on our own. Russia was not

At The Hartford, we learn about our customers' business.

CLICK TO ANSWER 2 SIMPLE QUESTIONS.

Click

Give the Gift of Living in the Know.

Purchase a gift subscription to The Wall Street Journal for the gadget lovers on your list.

ORDER TODAY!

Email Newsletters and Alerts

The latest news and analysis delivered to your in-box. Check the boxes below to sign up.

On the Editorial Page Best of the Web Today

SIGN UP

Manage Email Preferences

Most Popular on Facebook

our enemy, and given other threats such as North Korea and Iran, there was no sense anymore in making Russia our touchstone for all strategic weapons.

But Russian President Vladimir Putin was less interested in transcending Cold War superpower rivalry than in salvaging some of Russia's prestige as America's nuclear counterweight. He announced his own plan for unilateral Russian weapons cuts and, at the same time, pushed for a new U.S.-Russian arms treaty.

When Gen. Baluyevsky presented his unacceptable demands and I rejected them, he said they were required, absolutely. This made a new treaty impossible, I said, but that was all right, for no new treaty was necessary. It was Russia that desired one, I observed. Mr. Bush was willing to accommodate this desire as a gesture of friendship, but not at any price.

Gen. Baluyevsky said it was unimaginable to have no arms treaty providing "structure" to the U.S.-Russian relationship. I observed that America had relationships with nearly 200 other countries, including some with nuclear weapons, and we did not structure our ties to any of them with arms-control accords. During the Cold War, things were different. Russia soon dropped its demands for terms that would constrain U.S. missile defense, discourage long-range conventional strike capabilities, and create bogus verification. The treaty was signed in May 2002.

Seven years later, the incoming Obama administration was eager to repudiate its predecessor's policies. Russian officials saw their opportunity. They asked again for the concessions they had before unsuccessfully demanded from Mr. Bush.

Mr. Obama agreed to treaty language linking offensive reductions with missile defense, limiting launch vehicles, and restricting conversion of ICBMs for missile defense purposes. He accepted counting rules that would impede us in converting nuclear ICBMs, say, to conventional missiles. They would still count as nuclear weapons and could force us to give up other nuclear weapons. Mr. Obama also accepted ineffectual verification measures that establish a bad precedent.

The American arms-control priesthood was quick to praise New Start. But the U.S. Senate has the responsibility to evaluate Mr. Obama's concessions. At a minimum, that requires reviewing the negotiating record—which has yet to be provided to the Senate.

Mr. Obama's poor negotiating is a cautionary tale: If you want it bad you get it bad.

Mr. Feith, a senior fellow at the Hudson Institute, served as under secretary of defense from 2001 to 2005.

MORE IN OPINION »

Email Printer Friendly Order Reprints

Share: Facebook Twitter LinkedIn StumbleUpon Dribbble RSS

Like Be the first of your friends to like this.

SPONSORED LINKS

Penny stocks soaring 900%
Come experience penny stock gains with our free & accurate newsletter
www.PennyStocksUniverse.com

Refinance Rates at 2.75%
\$160,000 mortgage for \$434/mo. No Hidden Fees - No SSN Req-Free Quotes
Lendgo.com/mortgage

Hot Penny Stock Picks
Electrifying Stock Pick Accuracy. Only 5 FREE Spots Left. Sign Up NOW.
www.PerfectPennyStocks.com

Add a Comment

We welcome your thoughtful comments. Please comply with our **Community rules**. All comments will display your real name.


JOURNAL COMMUNITY

WSJ **A&P Files for Bankruptcy - WSJ.com**
86 people shared this.

 **Tim Pawlenty: Government Unions vs. Taxpayers - WSJ.com**
82 people shared this.

 **Video - Metrodome Roof Collapse Caught on Video - WSJ.com**
94 people shared this.

WSJ **Federal Judge to Rule on Health Law's Constitutionality - WSJ.com**
62 people shared this.

 **Sarah Palin: Why I Support the Ryan Roadmap - WSJ.com**
14,502 people shared this.

Facebook social plugin

Video >



Opinion Journal: Death Tax Revival?
6:29



Opinion Journal: Will It Stimulate the Economy?
5:40



The Grapes of Wrath Democrats
2:35

More in Opinion

- [Tim Pawlenty: Government Unions vs. Taxpayers](#)
- [The Hawkeye Handouts](#)
- [Michael O'Hanlon: Preparing for the Post-Karzai Future](#)
- [The Democracy Recession](#)
- [Badger Rebellion](#)

Most Popular >

Read Emailed Video Commented Searches

- [Picard to Continue With Suits Against Mark Madoff](#)
- [Picard Suit Dogged Madoff](#)
- [Opinion: Noonan: From Audacity to Animosity](#)
- [Apple Readies iPhone for Verizon](#)
- [Mark Madoff and the Fraud's Burden](#)

Most Read Articles Feed

Latest Headlines

- [Suicide Won't End Madoff Lawsuits](#)
- [Tax Deal Set to Pass Senate](#)
- [Pelosi Walks Tax-Deal Tightrope](#)
- [Envoy Holbrooke in Critical Condition](#)
- [Economists Predict Growth in 2011](#)



Track replies to my comment

[Go to Comments tab](#)

Related Stories

Condoleezza Rice: New Start—Ratify, With Caveats 12/7/2010

New Start: What Would Reagan Do? 12/2/2010

R. James Woolsey: Old Problems With New Start 11/15/2010

Joseph R. Biden Jr.: The Case for Ratifying New Start 11/25/2010

Judith Miller: The Plame Affair, Hollywood Style 12/9/2010

Review & Outlook: The Nuclear Treaty Rush 11/24/2010

Stephen Peter Rosen: The Emperor's Nuclear Clothes 11/29/2010

Book Review: The Chechen Struggle 12/13/2010

Related Videos



speech

11/19/2010
Opinion Journal: 'The Ben Bernanke' Blames China - Mary Anastasia O'Grady on Bernanke's China



11/19/2010
Opinion Journal: START Again -- Matthew Kaminski on Obama's START Treaty



11/20/2010
Opinion Journal: Obama Urges U.S. Approval of START Treaty

[Downtowns Get a Fresh Lease](#)


[BP Oil-Spill Claims Get Fast Track](#)

[Metrodome Roof Collapses in Storm](#)

[Firms Feel Pain From Health Law](#)

[Judge to Rule on Health Law](#)

[More Headlines](#)



CLICK HERE for Full Article Access – and get

TWO WEEKS FREE

WSJ.com subscribers enjoy:

- Deeper core business, industry and market news
- Exclusive newsletters and alerts
- Save & share article functionality within the Journal Community

Editors' Picks



Food Trucks Face Roadblocks in Chicago



It's Favre and the Detroit Vikings!



Verizon Wireless CEO Dashes Into 4G Service



HSBC's New Venture is on Course



Snow Day Lands Giants in Motown

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

[BACK TO TOP](#)

WSJ.com Account:

- My Account
- Subscriber Billing Info

Create an Account:

- Register for Free
- Subscribe to WSJ.com
- Sign up for WSJ Professional

About:

- News Licensing
- Advertising
- Advertise Locally
- Conferences
- About Dow Jones
- Privacy Policy - Updated
- Subscriber Agreement & Terms of Use - Updated

WSJ.com:

- Site Map
- Home
- World
- U.S.
- New York
- Business
- Markets
- Market Data

Tools & Formats:

- Today's Paper
- Video Center
- Graphics
- Columns
- Blogs
- Topics
- Guides
- Alerts

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL. Digital Network

- WSJ.com
- Marketwatch.com
- Barrons.com
- SmartMoney.com
- AllThingsD.com
- FINS: Finance, IT jobs, Sales

Help & Information Center:

- Help
- Customer Service
- Contact Us
- New on WSJ.com
- Tour the new Journal

- Copyright Policy
- Jobs at WSJ.com

- Tech
- Personal Finance
- Life & Culture
- Opinion
- Autos
- Careers
- Real Estate
- Small Business
- Student Journal
- Corrections

- Newsletters
- Mobile
- Tablet Edition
- Podcasts
- RSS Feeds
- Journal Community
- WSJ on Twitter
- WSJ on Facebook
- WSJ on Foursquare
- My Journal
- Portfolio

jobs

- BigCharts.com
- Virtual Stock Exchange
- WSJ Radio
- ProfessorJournal.com
- WSJ U.S. Edition
- WSJ Asia Edition
- WSJ Europe Edition
- WSJ India Page

Foreign Language Editions:

- WSJ Chinese
- WSJ Japanese
- WSJ Portuguese
- WSJ Spanish



Copyright ©2010 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved